

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/735,092		12/12/2000	Erik Labergerie	3-1032-149	5334
803	7590	07/03/2002			
HENDE	RSON & S	TURM LLP	EXAMINER		
206 SIXT	LAND BUI H AVENUE	3		WONG, LI	ESLIE A
DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1761	8
				DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W-8

-W-

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/735,092

Applicant(s)

er Art Unit

Examiner Leslie Wong

1761

Labergerie et al.

	•••	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
	for Reply	TO EVAIDE throe MONTHES FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
	•	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
- If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th					
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the					
	uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any .				
Status						
1) [X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 30, 2	002				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) 22-42	is/are pending in the application.				
4	a) Of the above, claim(s) 37-42	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>22-36</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
	ntion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)⊠ None of:					
1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea 	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
_	extstyle extsty					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachm						
\sim	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
_	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) [X] Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4	6) Uther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/735092

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I (new claims 22-36) in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "the claimed process is particularly suited for the manufacture of the claimed product". This is not found persuasive because the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Chase et al (WO 94/28181).

Chase et al teach a dextrose hydrate which is greater that 99.5% dextrose, has a crystallinity of 100%, has an α form of 100%, and has a moisture content of 8-9%.

The claims appear to differ as to the specific recitation of compressibility.

Application/Control Number: 09/735092

Art Unit: 1761

The claimed compressibility would be inherent and/or obvious to that of Chase et al as the

Page 3

product has all the same attributes.

All of the claim limitations have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as

basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Edwards discloses crystallization of dextrose monohydrate (see entire document), and

Serpelloni discloses conventional compressibility numbers for a powder (see entire document).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can

normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

LAW June 28, 2002